







## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,860	12/19/2001	Paul B. Koeneman	42390.P12041	4678
7590 01/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles K. Yo	oung OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ALI, MOHA	ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
Seventh Floor	JRULUFF, TATLUR &	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire		3744		
Los Angeles, (	CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•		1 10/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/028,860	KOENEMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mohammad M Ali	3744			
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ntion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA maions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 <i>January</i> 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-29 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9,12-20 and 22-29 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
_	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a)[ * S 13)□ A si 3 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa see the attached detailed Office action facknowledgment is made of a claim for once a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  1 The translation of the foreign languation and the complex consideration of the foreign languation of the foreign languation of the first senter of the consideration of the considera	cuments have been received. Icuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ior a list of the certified copies not domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. In the first sentence of the specific lage provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of Ir	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  offormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3744

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pump located inside of the integrated circuit" for claim 14. "optical to electrical interface for first integrated circuit die" for claim 25 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12- 20 and 22-24, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujisaki et al. (5,763,950). Fujisaki et al. disclose a integrated circuit chips/package comprising an integrated circuit die having an active surface 11, and a cooling fluid /coolant 235 directly contact and move across the active surface 11, substrate 12, solder bums 13, heat sink 23, interposer, internal pump/fan 133, and external pump 197 for flowing cooling fluid in the circuit. See Fig. 1, 20, 28 and 37

Application/Control Number: 10/028,860 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 4, 22- 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel (5,396,403). Lin et al. Fujisaki et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Fujisaki et al. do not disclose a coupling feature to the both side of an interposer by solder bums. Patel teaches the use of a coupling feature to the both sides of an inter poser 65 by solder bumps i7 in an integrated circuit for the purpose of making a desired integrated circuit. Patel also disclose an electrical cable 89 for power connection. See Fig. 4 Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the integrated circuit chips of Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel such that an a coupling feature of the interposer and solder bumps could be provided in order to make the integrated circuit in a desired manner.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel as applied to claim 4 above and further in view of Lin et al. (6,188,578 B1). Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel do not disclose an underfill material. Lin et al. teach the use of an underfill material 18 in an integrated circuit package for the purpose of serving an integrated circuit. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the integrated circuit chips of Fujisaki et al. in view of Patel and further in view of Lin et al. such that an underfill material could be provided in order to serve the integrated circuit.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/028,860

Art Unit: 3744

Page 4

Applicant's arguments, see remarks of amendment, page 8, filed 01/12/04, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-9, 12-20 and 22-29 under 35 U. S. C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new prior art. Therefore, Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 2:40pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Villiam Ef Tapolcai Primary Examiner, Art Unit 344

me

Ma

January 22, 2004

Application/Control Number: 10/028,860

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5